MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PARK COMMISSON CITY OF UNIVERSITY CITY, ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI TUESDAY, FEBRUARY18, 2020

Agenda Item #1: Call Meeting to Order

Park Commission Vice President, Kevin Taylor called the meeting to order.

Agenda Item #2: Roll Call

Those in attendance included Commission Vice-President Kevin Taylor, Secretary Lisa Hummel, Commission Members Su Schmalz, Jay Redd, James Wilke and Meg Ullman. Also, in attendance was City Council Liaison Steve McMahon and Superintendent of Parks Maintenance Ewald Winker. Those not in attendance included Commission President Carl Hoagland, and Director of Parks, Recreation and Forestry Darren Dunkle.

Agenda Item #3: Approval of the Agenda	
Commission Member motioned, and Commission Member seconded to approve the Agenda. The motion was approved with a vote.	_
Agenda Item #4: Approval of Minutes	
Commission Membermotioned, and Commission Memberseconded to approve the minutes of January 21, 2020. The motion was approved with avote.	-
Agenda Item #5: Citizen Comments	
None	

Agenda Item #6: Department Report

Commission reviewed the submitted report.

- Discussion followed regarding the Audit Analysis and overall parks Work Plan. Commission would like more information and details.
- Greensfelder Park conceptual plan was discussed, and Commission Members would like more information before endorsing the plan.
- Commission had questions regarding the Lewis Park stormwater issues. Mainly the Commission
 wanted to know about the placement of the retaining wall. Superintendent of Parks Maintenance Ewald
 Winker informed the Commissions of the reasoning behind the placement and that staff has received
 positive comments regarding the placement.

Agenda Item #7: Council Report

None

Agenda Item #8: Unfinished Business

a) Parks Commission Priorities

Commission members felt the edits to the Priorities Document were never done properly. Commission Secretary Hummel was okay with the substance but felt it wasn't written well and wasn't ready for

	Commission Member motioned, and Commission Memberseconded to have the Park Priorities placed on the March agenda. The motion was approved with a vote.
b)	Dog Park Surfacing Review
	Commission Secretary Hummel stated that she had requested the dog park surfacing to be placed of the agenda in hopes of being totally transparent regarding the endorsement to utilize wood chips. However, since there wasn't any public comment or concern at the meeting, she was okay with the confidence of wood mulch as a surface. Commission Vice-President Taylor stated that he wanted more documentation on why wood chips are cheaper. Mr. Taylor went on to state that he felt the Commission on why wood chips are cheaper. Mr. Taylor went on to state that he felt the Commission demand a cost analysis of wood chips versus other types of surfacing and is seeking full disclosure. Commission Member Ullman stated that if this becomes a big issue, the City should just close the dog park. Council Liaison McMahon was asked if the ordinance to make the property park and also committed the City to keeping it a dog park and for how long. Council Liaison McMahon stated that he didn't know.
Agend	Item #9: New Business
a)	Park Inspection Reports – Commission members stated that they didn't know what was expected of them regarding providing park inspections for their assigned parks. Commission Secretary Hummel stated that she constantly fills out a report for each of her assigned parks monthly but isn't sure if any action is taken. Commission Member Schmalz state that she visits her assigned parks very frequent out rarely provides a written report, Commission Member Wilke stated that he has provided a detailed report including photos but never heard if anything had been addressed. The Commission stated that he would like to discuss this further at the next meeting.
b)	Parks, Recreation and Forestry Budget
	Commission Members were pleased with the budget report that Mr. Dunkle provided for the meeting out would like to see the detailed budgets for Parks, Recreation & Forestry. The Commission believely way of the bylaws they were required to provide a yearend condition of and needs assessment for the park report to City Council. The Commission voted unanimously to place this item on the March agenda for discussion and process.
	Commission Member motioned, and Commission Memberseconded to have the Budget placed on the March agenda. The motion was approved with a vote.
Agend	ltem #10: Adjournment
Co se wi	nmission Member made a motion at pm, onded by Commission Member to adjourn. The motion was approved a vote.

public consumption. The Commission also questioned whether the merge between staff's priorities and the Commission's priorities ever took place.

MINUTES SUBJECT TO PARKS COMMISSION APPROVAL.