

TRAFFICCOMMISSION MEETING VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE WEDNESDAY, APRIL 13, 2022 6:30PM

<u>IMPROTANT NOTICE REGARDING</u> <u>PUBLIC ACCESS TO THE TRAFFIC COMMISSION MEETING & PARTICIPATION</u>

Traffic Commission will Meet Electronically on APRIL 13, 2022

On March 20, 2020, City Manager Gregory Rose declared a State of Emergency for the City of University City due to the COVID-19 Pandemic. Due to the current order restricting gatherings of people and the ongoing efforts to limit the spread of the COVID-19 virus, the April 13, 2022 meeting will be conducted via videoconference.

Observe and/or Listen to the Meeting (your options to join the meeting are below):

<u>Webinar</u> via the link below: https://us02web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_JfUzsQ7BQGOqNAxxWzg4hA

Audio Only Call

Or iPhone one-tap : US: +13017158592,,88281367095#,,1#,441746# or +13126266799,,88281367095#,,1#,441746# Or Telephone: Dial(for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location): US: +1 301 715 8592 or +1 312 626 6799 or +1 929 205 6099 or +1 253 215 8782 or +1 346 248 7799 or +1 669 900 6833 or 888 788 0099 (Toll Free) or 877 853 5247 (Toll Free) Webinar ID: 810 8446 6730

Pass code: 840977

Citizen Participation

Those who wish to provide a comment during the "Public Comments" portion as indicated on the Traffic Commission agenda: may provide written comments to the Senior Public Works Manager ahead of the meeting.

ALL written comments must be received **no later than 12:00 p.m. the day of the meeting**. Comments may be sent via email to: <u>salpaslan@ucitymo.org</u> or mailed to the City Hall – 6801 Delmar Blvd. – Attention Sinan Alpaslan, Public Works Director. Such comments will be provided to the Traffic Commission prior to the meeting. Comments will be made a part of the official record and made accessible to the public online following the meeting.

Please note, when submitting your comments, a <u>name and address must be provided</u>. Please also note if your comment is on an agenda or non-agenda item, and a name and address are not provided, the provided comment will not be recorded in the official record.

The City apologizes for any inconvenience the meeting format change may pose to individuals, but it is extremely important that extra measures be taken to protect employees, residents board/ commission members and elected officials during these challenging times.



Traffic Commission 6801 Delmar Boulevard, University City, Missouri 63130, Phone: (314) 505-8560, Fax: (314) 862-0694

<u>A G E N D A</u>

TRAFFIC COMMISSION MEETING

April 13, 2022, at 6:30 p.m. Via Zoom

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Roll Call
- 3. Approval of Agenda
- 4. Approval of Minutes
 - A. March 9, 2022

5. Agenda items

A. 7000-7100 blocks of Forsyth Blvd. (South side) Residential Parking Permit petition

- 6. Council Liaison Report
- 7. Miscellaneous Business

8. Adjournment.

Prior to the meeting, we recommend that you visit the site(s). Please email <u>salpaslan@ucitymo.org</u> to confirm your attendance.

ALL written comments must be received <u>no later than 12:00 p.m. the day of the meeting</u>. Comments may be sent via email to: <u>salpaslan@ucitymo.org</u> or mailed to the City Hall – 6801 Delmar Blvd. – Attention Sinan Alpaslan, Public Works Director. Such comments will be provided to the Traffic Commission prior to the meeting. Comments will be made a part of the official record and made accessible to the public online following the meeting.

Please note, when submitting your comments, a <u>name and address must be provided</u>. Please also note if your comment is on an agenda or non-agenda item, and a name and address are not provided, the provided comment will not be recorded in the official record.

TRAFFIC COMMISSION MEETING Virtual Zoom Meeting University City MO 63130

IMPROTANT NOTICE REGARDING PUBLIC ACCESS TO THE TRAFFIC COMMISSION MEETING &

<u>PARTICIPATION:</u> On March 20, 2020, City Manager Gregory Rose declared a State of Emergency for the City of University City due to the COVID-19 Pandemic. Due to the current order restricting gatherings of more than 10 people and the ongoing efforts to limit the spread of the COVID-19 virus, the June 9, 2021, meeting will be conducted via videoconference.

Date: 3/9/22

1. Call to Order At 6:30 P.M. by Chairman Stewart

2. Roll Call

Bart Stewart	Commissioner & Chair - Present
Dennis Fuller	Commissioner - Present
Craig Hughes	Commissioner - Present
Cirri Moran	Commissioner - Present
Jane Comm	nissioner - Present
Jerold Tiers	Commissioner – Present
Larry Zelenovich	Commissioner – Excused
Sinan Alpaslan	PWP Director - Present
Tim Cusick	Council liaison - Present
Shawn Whitley	Police Liaison - Present
John Mulligan	City Attorney - Present

3. Approval of Agenda

Motion by Commissioner Schaefer to approve the agenda and motion 2nd by Commissioner Moran. Motion approved by a unanimous voice vote of the Commission.

4. Approval of Minutes of: November 10, 2021, and January 12, 2022 Corrections:

Motion by Commissioner Schaefer to approve the minutes of November 10, 2021, and January 12, 2022, and motion 2nd by Commissioner Moran. Motion approved by a unanimous voice vote of the Commission.

- 5. Agenda items
- A. Crixdale Ln. Extension to the east (See Attachment) Discussion

Requestor: Staff Request: Crixdale Ln. Extension to the east and north to 82nd Blvd.-Olive Blvd. To review feasibility of Crixdale Ln. extension to east and north to 82nd Blvd.-Olive Blvd. intersection. Conclusion/Recommendation: Below are some notes from a preliminary analysis of the proposed extension:

- The creek and park located south of Crixdale present significant barriers to development opportunities for the parcels between Crixdale and Olive.
- Extending Crixdale Avenue further east to connect to Olive Boulevard will not have a significant impact on travel patterns.

• Extending Crixdale Avenue further east does not-- on its own-- improve development potential of the south side of the Olive Boulevard and does not open new development potential south of Crixdale.

• Based on the findings of the economic development plan, future land use on the norther portion of Olive in this area is not suitable for regional retail due to shallow lot depth. Three potential options for land use were recommended. It is advised that community engagement with the area residents take place to determine a preferred future before any further action is taken.

• Due to the physical restrictions, future land use on the southern portion of Olive in this area is not suitable for regional retail due to shallow lot depth and current building orientations.

• Assembling the various general commercial parcels on the south of Olive in the study area may provide some opportunity to clear the sites and construct a new building/ buildings with larger setbacks to accommodate smaller regional retail development. There would be no potential for out-parcels on these small regional retail sites due on the lot depth limitations resulting from the creek and park.

• To ensure the overall vision for the corridor as a gateway is preserved, it is recommended that further efforts be taken to determine what land use is preferred north of Olive Blvd, and then apply the appropriate complimentary land use strategy to the southern portion of the street.

• Crixdale is currently a low volume residential street. If additional traffic were to use the corridor as retail access or a cut-thru to avoid congestion at Olive, it may change the character of the street. The discussion of this item is intended to produce comments/suggestions from the Traffic Commission to be taken into account for further feasibility analysis of the request.

- (1) Ms. Kelly Schaefer and Ms. Kelly Davis of Lochmueller Traffic engineers / consultants were online and provided following impacts.
 - a. The residential portion of Crixdale Ave. will be impacted with increased traffic and parking restricted to one lane or one side of the street with two ten ft. wide traffic lanes.
 - b. The roadbed extending Crixdale Ave to the east will require widening along the creek bed at an estimated cost of \$250,000 for grading and roadbed preparation.
 - c. Land acquisition for the extension and lighting cost will be added at a later date.
 - d. The cost to modify the intersection of the 82nd St signal add olive is estimated at \$250,000.
- (2) Discussion
 - a. Commissioner Moran questioned whether the alley was owned by the city or privately owned property. Director Alpaslan answered that it was privately owned and that is part of the acquisition cost.
 - b. Commissioner Fuller requested an estimate of the additional cost for land acquisition and lighting. Ms. Schaefer estimated that cost to be \$65,000.

- c. Commissioner Fuller questioned the budget source for this project. Director Alpaslan explained that this project is being submitted to apply for grant money from the ARBA (American Rescue Plan Act of 2021).
- d. Commissioner Moran question whether other studies have been done in this area. Councilman Cusick replied that this has been discussed.
- e. Commissioner Hughes stated that it is an excellent idea to extend Up to the Olive / 82nd Ave intersection.
- f. Commissioner Stewart questioned whether anyone from the city has reached out to the businesses or residents affected. Director Alpaslan indicated that this would be done if the grant application was successful.
- g. Commissioner Stewart asked if there were further comments, suggestions, or questions. As none were presented, these suggestions and observations will be used by the city staff for the possible grant application. No motion or further item activity were required for this item of business.
- 6. Council Liaison Report, Councilmember Cusick

A. The Commission on stormwater management will send every port to the council by the end of the month.

B. all development projects in the city are currently on schedule.

C. U. City in Bloom is currently in the process of clearing Ruth Park Woods and doing a beautiful job.

D. All were reminded that municipal elections will be April 5th.

7. Miscellaneous Business: Commissioner Fuller reminded all that Vision 2040 think tank meetings would be held this Thursday and Saturday and all were encouraged to attend and participate.

8. Adjournment. Adjournment. No further business appearing,

Commissioner Tiers made a motion to Adjourn, Motion 2nd by Commissioner Schaefer. Motion approved by a unanimous voice vote of the Commission.

Meeting Adjourned at 7:10 PM.

Respectfully Submitted Dennis Fuller, Commissioner



Department of Public Works

6801 Delmar Boulevard, University City, Missouri 63130, Phone: (314) 505-8560, Fax: (314) 862-0694

STAFF REPORT

MEETING DATE:	April 13, 2022
Requestor :	Chana R. Novack – 7018 Forsyth Blvd.
Request:	Establish Residential Parking Permit zone in 7000-7100 blocks of
	Forsyth Blvd.
Attachments:	 Layout of Forsyth Blvd. with residential parking permit zones Copy of University City Traffic Code Section 355.030

Existing Conditions:

Please see attached sketch (red line marked sections are current residential parking permit zones and yellow line shows the requested zone).

Request:

To designate the south side of Forsyth Blvd in the 7000 and 7100 blocks as a residential permit parking area from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. on weekdays, Monday through Friday.

There were previous requests for allowing inclusion in the current 7100 block Forsyth Blvd. residential parking permit system of employees of Chabad House (4 ea.) at 7018 Forsyth Blvd. and changing the parking restrictions to allow open parking on Fridays after 5 p.m. The Commission considered this request at its October 2021 meeting and moved to recommend approval of both requests subject to petition-process required rate of approval (75%) by the property owners.

Conclusion/Recommendation:

The request is in accordance with the applicable University City Traffic Code (Section 355.030) as the street is within two (2) blocks of Washington University and the problems caused by non-resident parking on the block are chronic and well documented.

A petition is recommended to be initiated by the requestor to encompass the entire adjacent private property parcels of real estate on the south side of the 7000 and 7100 blocks of Forsyth Blvd. and be signed by at least one (1) owner of record for each of the parcels.

The discussion of this item is intended to produce a recommendation and vote from the Traffic Commission to consider allowing the requestor to begin the petition process for their request as outlined above.



Chapter 355. Stopping, Standing or Parking Prohibited in Specified Places

Section 355.030. Residential Parking Permit Plan.

[R.O. 2011 §10.40.030; Ord. No. 6840 §1, 1-10-2011; Ord. No. 6881 §1, 1-23-2012; Ord. No. 6894 §2, 9-10-2012]

- A. Parking on public streets within residential neighborhoods may be restricted to the residents along not more than three (3) blocks of a street pursuant to this Section if:
 - 1. The residential block contains a traffic ingress and egress to or from a commercial area comprising multiple retail businesses;
 - The daily traffic count exceeds two thousand five hundred (2,500) cars on any part of the block containing the traffic ingress and/or egress referred to in Subsection (A)(1) of this Section; and
 - 3. The problems caused by non-resident parking on the block referred to in Subsection (A)(1) of this Section are chronic and well documented.
- B. Parking on public streets within residential neighborhoods may be restricted to the residents along not more than three (3) blocks of a street pursuant to this Section if:
 - 1. The street is within two (2) blocks of Washington University or another municipality's boundary; and
 - 2. The problems caused by non-resident parking on the block are chronic and well documented.
- C. Residential permit parking areas must be initiated by petition. The petition must state the problems caused by non-resident parking; the specific area requested to be restricted; the requested restricted time period; and be signed by at least one (1) owner of record for each of the parcels of real estate constituting in excess of seventy-five percent (75%) of the parcels of real estate adjacent to the block of the public street involved.
- D. The petition shall be addressed to the Traffic Commission for its review. The Traffic Commission shall make an investigation and submit its recommendation to the City Council, which may thereafter take whatever action it deems appropriate, including establishing a residential parking permit area with conditions that are consistent with this Section.
- E. Restricted hours shall not exceed twelve (12) hours daily to be specifically recommended by the Traffic Commission after consideration of legitimate business and residential needs.
- F. Individual permits for residents of the restricted area shall be obtained by application to an officer designated by the City Manager.
- G. Unless exempt, applicants for individual permits must possess a valid University City occupancy permit for an address on the designated block(s), and a current and lawful State motor vehicle registration certificate for each permit requested. The motor vehicle must be registered or leased to an individual listed on the occupancy permit. The number of permits shall not exceed the number of vehicles owned by the resident.

- H. A maximum of two (2) transferable visitor permits shall be made available to each residence regardless of whether the resident owns an automobile.
- I. No permit issued under this Section shall guarantee or reserve to the holder a particular parking space within the restricted area, but shall allow to the holders of permits general parking in said area during the restricted hours specified by this Section and so posted.
- J. This Section shall not apply to corporations or individuals who are performing commercial services, repairs, or emergency assistance for any resident living in the specified area.
- K. It is unlawful for any owner or operator to park any vehicle on any street designated to be a residential parking permit area, during the restricted hours, without having a current and lawful residential permit displayed on the vehicle, unless exempt as provided in Subsection (J) of this Section.
- L. Residential permit parking areas may be established from time to time; and when established, the provisions of this Section shall apply to them.
- [1] Cross Reference As to residential permit parking areas, see sch. III, table III-D of this code.